

# AN EXTENSION OF UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS

Gerd Dethloff and Tran Van Tan

## Abstract

*In this paper, we give some results on the number of meromorphic mappings of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  under a condition on the inverse images of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . At the same time, we give an answer for an open question posed by H. Fujimoto in 1998.*

## 1 Introduction

In 1926, R. Nevanlinna showed that for two nonconstant meromorphic functions  $f$  and  $g$  on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , if they have the same inverse images for five distinct values, then  $f = g$ , and that  $g$  is a special type of a linear fractional transformation of  $f$  if they have the same inverse images, counted with multiplicities, for four distinct values.

In 1975, H. Fujimoto [2] generalized Nevanlinna's result to the case of meromorphic mappings of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . This problem continued to be studied by L. Smiley [9], S.Ji [5] and others.

Let  $f$  be a meromorphic mapping of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $H$  be a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  such that  $\text{im} f \not\subseteq H$ . Denote by  $v_{(f,H)}$  the map of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $v_{(f,H)}(a)$  ( $a \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ) is the intersection multiplicity of the image of  $f$  and  $H$  at  $f(a)$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer or  $+\infty$ . We set

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32H30.

Key words and phrases: uniqueness theorem, meromorphic mapping, linearly degenerate.

$$v_{(f,H)}^k(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_{(f,H)}(a) > k, \\ v_{(f,H)}(a) & \text{if } v_{(f,H)}(a) \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Let  $f$  be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$  be  $q$  hyperplanes in general position with

$$(a) \quad \dim \left\{ z : v_{(f,H_i)}^k(z) > 0 \text{ and } v_{(f,H_j)}^k(z) > 0 \right\} \leq m - 2 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq q.$$

For each positive integer  $p$ , denote by  $F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, p)$  the set of all linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings  $g$  of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  such that:

$$(b) \quad \min\{v_{(g,H_j)}^k, p\} = \min\{v_{(f,H_j)}^k, p\},$$

$$(c) \quad g = f \text{ on } \bigcup_{j=1}^q \{z : v_{(f,H_j)}^k(z) > 0\}.$$

In [5], S.Ji showed the following

**Theorem J.** ([5]) *If  $q = 3n + 1$  and  $k = +\infty$ , then for three mappings  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$ , the mapping  $f_1 \times f_2 \times f_3 : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$  is algebraically degenerate, namely,  $\{(f_1(z), f_2(z), f_3(z)), z \in \mathbb{C}^m\}$  is contained in a proper algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ .*

In 1929, H. Cartan declared that there are at most two meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  which have the same inverse images (ignoring multiplicities) for four distinct values. However in 1988, N. Steinmetz ([10]) gave examples which showed that H. Cartan's declaration is false. On the other hand, in 1998, Fujimoto ([4]) showed that H. Cartan's declaration is true if we assume that meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  share four distinct values counted with multiplicities truncated by 2. He gave the following theorem

**Theorem F.** ([4]) *If  $q = 3n + 1$  and  $k = +\infty$  then  $F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 2)$  contains at most two mappings.*

He also proposed an open problem asking if the number  $q = 3n + 1$  in Theorem F can be replaced by a smaller one. Inspired by this question, in this paper we will generalize the above results to the case where the number  $q = 3n + 1$  is in fact replaced by a smaller one. We also obtain an improvement concerning truncating multiplicities.

Denote by  $\Psi$  the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^{n^2+2n}$  which is

defined by sending the ordered pair  $((w_0, \dots, w_n), (v_0, \dots, v_n))$  to  $(\dots, w_i v_j, \dots)$  (in lexicographic order).

Let  $h : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$  be a meromorphic mapping. Let  $(h_0 : \dots : h_{n^2+2n})$  be a representation of  $\Psi \circ h$ . We say that  $h$  is linearly degenerate (with the algebraic structure in  $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$  given by the Segre embedding) if  $h_0, \dots, h_{n^2+2n}$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Our main results are stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *There are at most two distinct mappings in  $F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, p)$  in each of the following cases:*

- i)  $1 \leq n \leq 3, q = 3n + 1, p = 2$  and  $23n \leq k \leq +\infty$
- ii)  $4 \leq n \leq 6, q = 3n, p = 2$  and  $\frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3} \leq k \leq +\infty$
- iii)  $n \geq 7, q = 3n - 1, p = 1$  and  $\frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6} \leq k \leq +\infty$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $q = \left\lfloor \frac{5(n+1)}{2} \right\rfloor, (65n + 171)n \leq k \leq +\infty$ , where  $[x] := \max\{d \in \mathbb{N} : d \leq x\}$  for a positive constant  $x$ . Then one of following assertions holds :*

- i)  $\#F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1) \leq 2$ .
- ii) *For any  $f_1, f_2 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$ , the mapping  $f_1 \times f_2 : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$  is linearly degenerate (with the algebraic structure in  $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$  given by the Segre embedding).*

We finally remark that we obtained similar uniqueness theorems with moving targets in [11], but only with a bigger number of targets and with much bigger truncations.

**Acknowledgements:** The second author would like to thank Professor Do Duc Thai for valuable discussions, the Université de Bretagne Occidentale for its hospitality and support, and the PICS-CNRS ForMathVietnam for its support.

## 2 Preliminaries

We set  $\|z\| := (|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2)^{1/2}$  for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $B(r) := \{z : \|z\| < r\}$ ,  $S(r) := \{z : \|z\| = r\}$ ,  $d^c := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ ,  $v := (dd^c \|z\|^2)^{m-1}$  and  $\sigma := d^c \log \|z\|^2 \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|^2)^{m-1}$ .

Let  $F$  be a nonzero holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . For an  $m$ -tuple  $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  of nonnegative integers, set  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m$  and  $D^\alpha F := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} F}{\partial z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_m^{\alpha_m}}$ . We define the map  $v_F : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  by  $v_F(z) := \max \{p : D^\alpha F(z) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \text{ with } |\alpha| < p\}$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer or  $+\infty$ . Define the map  $v_F^{(k)}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{N}_0$  by

$$v_F^{(k)}(z) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_F(z) > k, \\ v_F(z) & \text{if } v_F(z) \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a nonzero meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . We define the map  $v_\varphi^{(k)}$  as follows: For each  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , choose nonzero holomorphic functions  $F$  and  $G$  on a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $z$  such that  $\varphi = \frac{F}{G}$  on  $U$  and  $\dim(F^{-1}(0) \cap G^{-1}(0)) \leq m - 2$ . Then put  $v_\varphi^{(k)}(z) := v_F^{(k)}(z)$ . Set

$$|v_\varphi^{(k)}| := \overline{\{z : v_\varphi^{(k)}(z) = 0\}}.$$

Define

$$N^{(k)}(r, v_\varphi) := \int_1^r \frac{n^{(k)}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty)$$

where

$$n^{(k)}(t) := \int_{|v_\varphi^{(k)}| \cap B(t)} v_\varphi^{(k)} \nu \quad \text{for } m \geq 2$$

and

$$n^{(k)}(t) := \sum_{|z| \leq t} v_\varphi^{(k)}(z) \quad \text{for } m = 1.$$

Set  $N(r, v_\varphi) := N^{(+\infty)}(r, v_\varphi)$ . For  $l$  a positive integer or  $+\infty$ , set

$$N_l^{(k)}(r, v_\varphi) := \int_1^r \frac{n_l^{(k)}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty)$$

where  $n_l^{(k)}(t) := \int_{|v_\varphi^{(k)}| \cap B(t)} \min\{v_\varphi^{(k)}, l\} \nu$  for  $m \geq 2$  and  $n_l^{(k)}(t) := \sum_{|z| \leq t} \min\{v_\varphi^{(k)}(z), l\}$  for  $m = 1$ . Set  $\bar{N}(r, v_\varphi) := N_1^{(+\infty)}(r, v_\varphi)$  and

$\bar{N}^k(r, v_\varphi) := N_1^k(r, v_\varphi)$ . For a closed subset  $A$  of a purely  $(m-1)$ -dimensional analytic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , we define

$$\bar{N}(r, A) := \int_1^r \frac{\bar{n}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty)$$

where

$$\bar{n}(t) := \begin{cases} \int_{A \cap B(t)} v & \text{for } m \geq 2 \\ \#(A \cap B(t)) & \text{for } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  be a meromorphic mapping. For arbitrarily fixed homogeneous coordinates  $(w_0 : \cdots : w_n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , we take a reduced representation  $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$  which means that each  $f_i$  is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and  $f(z) = (f_0(z) : \cdots : f_n(z))$  outside the analytic set  $\{f_0 = \cdots = f_n = 0\}$  of codimension  $\geq 2$ .

Set  $\|f\| := (|f_0|^2 + \cdots + |f_n|^2)^{1/2}$ . The characteristic function of  $f$  is defined by

$$T_f(r) := \int_{S(r)} \log \|f\| \sigma - \int_{S(1)} \log \|f\| \sigma, \quad r > 1.$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , the characteristic function  $T_\varphi(r)$  of  $\varphi$  is defined by considering  $\varphi$  as a meromorphic mapping of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ .

Let  $H = \{a_0 w_0 + \cdots + a_n w_n = 0\}$  be a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  such that  $\text{im } f \not\subseteq H$ . Set  $(f, H) := a_0 f_0 + \cdots + a_n f_n$ . We define

$$N_f^k(r, H) := N^k(r, v_{(f,H)}) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{l,f}^k(r, H) := N_l^k(r, v_{(f,H)}).$$

Sometimes we write  $\bar{N}_f^k(r, H)$  for  $N_{1,f}^k(r, H)$ ,  $N_{l,f}(r, H)$  for  $N_{l,f}^{+\infty}(r, H)$  and  $N_f(r, H)$  for  $N_{+\infty,f}^{+\infty}(r, H)$ .

Set  $\psi_f(H) := \frac{\|f\| (|a_0|^2 + \cdots + |a_n|^2)^{1/2}}{(f, H)}$ . We define the proximity function by

$$m_f(r, H) := \int_{S(r)} \log |\psi_f(H)| \sigma - \int_{S(1)} \log |\psi_f(H)| \sigma.$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function  $\varphi$ , the proximity function is defined by

$$m(r, \varphi) := \int_{S(r)} \log^+ |\varphi| \sigma.$$

We note that  $m(r, \varphi) = m_\varphi(r, +\infty) + O(1)$  ([4], p.135).

We state the First and the Second Main Theorem of Value Distribution Theory.

**First Main Theorem.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  be a meromorphic mapping and  $H$  a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  such that  $\text{im } f \not\subseteq H$ . Then :*

$$N_f(r, H) + m_f(r, H) = T_f(r).$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function  $\varphi$  we have :

$$N(r, v_{\frac{1}{\varphi}}) + m(r, \varphi) = T_\varphi(r) + O(1).$$

**Second Main Theorem.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping and  $H_1, \dots, H_q$  be hyperplanes in general position in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Then:*

$$(q - n - 1)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r))$$

except for a set  $E \subset (1, +\infty)$  of finite Lebesgue measure.

The following so-called logarithmic derivative lemma plays an essential role in Nevanlinna theory.

**Theorem 2.1.** ([5], Lemma 3.1) *Let  $\varphi$  be a non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Then for any  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we have*

$$m\left(r, \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \varphi}{\varphi}\right) = o(T_\varphi(r)) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad r \notin E,$$

where  $E \subset (1, +\infty)$  of finite Lebesgue measure.

Let  $F, G$  and  $H$  be nonzero meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . For each  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m$ , we define the Cartan auxiliary function by

$$\Phi^l(F, G, H) := F \cdot G \cdot H \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{F} & \frac{1}{G} & \frac{1}{H} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} \left( \frac{1}{F} \right) & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} \left( \frac{1}{G} \right) & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} \left( \frac{1}{H} \right) \end{vmatrix}.$$

By [4] (Proposition 3.4) we have the following

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $F, G, H$  be nonzero meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Assume that  $\Phi^l(F, G, H) \equiv 0$  and  $\Phi^l\left(\frac{1}{F}, \frac{1}{G}, \frac{1}{H}\right) \equiv 0$  for all  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m$ . Then one of the following assertions holds*

- i)  $F = G$  or  $G = H$  or  $H = F$ .
- ii)  $\frac{F}{G}, \frac{G}{H}, \frac{H}{F}$  are all constant.

### 3 Proof of the Theorems

First of all, we need the following lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  be linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$  be hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Then there exists a dense subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  such that for any  $c = (c_0, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ , the hyperplane  $H_c$  defined by  $c_0\omega_0 + \dots + c_n\omega_n = 0$  satisfies*

$$\dim(f_i^{-1}(H_j) \cap f_i^{-1}(H_c)) \leq m - 2 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, \dots, q\}.$$

**Proof.** We refer to [5], Lemma 5.1. □

Let  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$ , for  $q \geq n + 1$ . Set

$$T(r) := T_{f_1}(r) + T_{f_2}(r) + T_{f_3}(r).$$

For each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , set  $F_{ic}^j := \frac{(f_i, H_j)}{(f_i, H_c)}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that there exist  $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and a closed subset  $A$  of a purely  $(m - 1)$ -dimensional analytic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^m$*

satisfying

$$1) \quad \Phi_c^l := \Phi^l(F_{1c}^{j_0}, F_{2c}^{j_0}, F_{3c}^{j_0}) \neq 0, \text{ and}$$

$$2) \quad \min\{v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}, p\} = \min\{v_{(f_2, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}, p\} = \min\{v_{(f_3, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}, p\} \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^m \setminus A,$$

where  $p$  is a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \bar{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + N_{p-1, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) &\leq N(r, v_{\Phi_c^l}) + (p-1)\bar{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \frac{k+2}{k+1}T(r) + (p+2)\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $l = 1$ . For an arbitrary point  $a \in \mathbb{C}^m \setminus A$  satisfying  $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}(a) > 0$ , we have  $v_{(f_i, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}(a) > 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . We choose  $a$  such that  $a \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^3 f_i^{-1}(H_c)$ . We distinguish between two cases, leading to equations (1) and (2).

**Case 1.** If  $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a) \geq p$ , then  $v_{(f_i, H_{j_0})}(a) \geq p$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . This means that  $a$  is a zero point of  $F_{ic}^{j_0}$  with multiplicity  $\geq p$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c^1 &= F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) \\ &\quad + F_{2c}^{j_0} F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{2c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) \\ &\quad + F_{3c}^{j_0} F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{3c}^{j_0} F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand  $F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) = \frac{-F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} F_{3c}^{j_0}}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}$ , so  $a$  is a zero point of

$F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right)$  with multiplicity  $\geq p-1$ . By applying the same argument also to all other combinations of indices, we see that  $a$  is a zero point of  $\Phi_c^1$  with multiplicity  $\geq p-1$ . (1)

**Case 2.** If  $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a) \leq p$ , then  $p_0 := v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a) = v_{(f_2, H_{j_0})}(a) = v_{(f_3, H_{j_0})}(a) \leq p$ . There exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $a$  such that  $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})} \leq p$  on  $U$ . Indeed, otherwise there exist a sequence  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} a_s = a$  and



$v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a_s) \geq p + 1$  for all  $s$ . By the definition, we have  $D^\beta(f_1, H_{j_0})(a_s) = 0$  for all  $|\beta| < p + 1$ . So  $D^\beta(f_1, H_{j_0})(a) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} D^\beta(f_1, H_{j_0})(a_s) = 0$  for all  $|\beta| < p + 1$ . Thus  $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a) \geq p + 1$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})} \leq p$  on  $U$ .

We can choose  $U$  such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ ,  $v_{(f_i, H_{j_0})} \leq p$  on  $U$  and  $(f_i, H_c)$  has no zero point on  $U$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then  $v_{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = v_{F_{2c}^{j_0}} = v_{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \leq p$  on  $U$ . So  $U \cap \{F_{1c}^{j_0} = 0\} = U \cap \{F_{2c}^{j_0} = 0\} = U \cap \{F_{3c}^{j_0} = 0\}$ . Choose  $a$  such that  $a$  is regular point of  $U \cap \{F_{1c}^{j_0} = 0\}$ . By shrinking  $U$  we may assume that there exists a holomorphic function  $h$  on  $U$  such that  $dh$  has no zero point and  $F_{ic}^{j_0} = h^{p_0} u_i$  on  $U$ , where  $u_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$  are nowhere vanishing holomorphic functions on  $U$  (note that  $v_{F_{1c}^{j_0}}(a) = v_{F_{2c}^{j_0}}(a) = v_{F_{3c}^{j_0}}(a) = p_0$ ). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c^1 = u_1 & \frac{(u_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_2 - u_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_3) h^{p_0}}{u_2 u_3} + u_2 \frac{(u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_3 - u_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_1) h^{p_0}}{u_3 u_1} \\ & + u_3 \frac{(u_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_1 - u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_2) h^{p_0}}{u_1 u_2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$a \text{ is a zero point of } \Phi_c^1 \text{ with mulitplicity } \geq p_0 \quad (2)$$

By (1), (2) and our choice of  $a$ , there exists an analytic set  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  with codimension  $\geq 2$  such that  $v_{\Phi_c^1} \geq \min\{v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}, p - 1\}$  on

$$\{z : v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}^k(z) > 0\} \setminus (M \cup A). \quad (3)$$

For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus \{j_0\}$ , let  $a$  (depending on  $j$ ) be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  such that  $v_{(f_1, H_j)}^k(a) > 0$  (if there exist any). Then  $v_{(f_i, H_j)}^k(a) > 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , since  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$ . We can choose  $a \notin f_i^{-1}(H_c) \cup f_i^{-1}(H_{j_0})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $a$  such that  $v_{(f_i, H_j)} \leq k$  on  $U$  and  $(f_i, H_{j_0}), (f_i, H_c)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) have no zero point on  $U$ . We have  $B := f_1^{-1}(H_j) \cap U = f_2^{-1}(H_j) \cap U = f_3^{-1}(H_j) \cap U$  and  $\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = \frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} = \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}$  on  $B$ . Choose  $a$  such that  $a$  is a regular point of  $B$ . By shrinking  $U$ , we may assume that there exists a holomorphic function  $h$  on  $U$  such that  $dh$  has no zero point and  $U \cap \{h = 0\} = B$ . Then  $\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} - \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = h\varphi_2$  and  $\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} - \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = h\varphi_3$  on  $U$  where  $\varphi_2, \varphi_3$  are holomorphic functions on  $U$ .

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_c^1 &= F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{2c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} & h\varphi_2 & h\varphi_3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) & \varphi_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} h + h \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \varphi_2 & \varphi_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} h + h \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \varphi_3 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{2c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} h^2 \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_2 & \varphi_3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \varphi_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \varphi_3 \end{vmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a$  is a zero point of  $\Phi_c^1$  with multiplicity  $\geq 2$ . Thus, for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus \{j_0\}$ , there exists an analytic set  $N \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  with codimension  $\geq 2$  such that  $v_{\Phi_c^1} \geq 2$  on

$$\{z : v_{(f_1, H_j)}^k(z) > 0\} \setminus N. \quad (4)$$

By (3) and (4), we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^k(r, H_j) + N_{p-1, f_1}^k(r, H_{j_0}) \leq N(r, v_{\Phi_c^1}) + (p-1)\overline{N}(r, A).$$

Similarly, we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) + N_{p-1, f_i}^k(r, H_{j_0}) \leq N(r, v_{\Phi_c^1}) + (p-1)\overline{N}(r, A), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (5)$$

Let  $a$  be an arbitrary zero point of some  $F_{ic}^{j_0}$ ,  $a \notin f_i^{-1}(H_c)$ , say  $i = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_c^1 &= (F_{2c}^{j_0} - F_{3c}^{j_0}) F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) + (F_{3c}^{j_0} - F_{1c}^{j_0}) F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) \\ &\quad + (F_{1c}^{j_0} - F_{2c}^{j_0}) F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right).\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

So we have

$$v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}(a) \leq 1 + \max\{v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}(a), i = 2, 3\} \leq 1 + v_{\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}}}(a) + v_{\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}}(a).$$

Furthermore, if  $0 < v_{F_{1c}^{j_0}}(a) \leq k$  (and, hence,  $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}^k(a) > 0$ ) and  $a \notin A$ , then by (3) we may assume that  $v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}(a) = 0$  (outside an analytic set of

codimension  $\geq 2$  ). (7)

Let  $a$  be an arbitrary pole of all  $F_{ic}^{j_0}$  ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . By (6) we have

$$v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}(a) \leq \max\{v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}(a), i = 1, 2, 3\} + 1 < \sum_{i=1}^3 v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}(a) \quad (8)$$

It follows from (6) that a pole of  $\Phi_c^1$  is a zero or a pole of some  $F_{ic}^{j_0}$ . Thus, by (6), (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\bar{N}(r, v_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}) - \bar{N}^k(r, v_{F_{ic}^{j_0}})\right) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}\right) + \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^3 N(r, v_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}\right) + \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^3 T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}\right) + \frac{1}{k+1} T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c^1 &= F_{1c}^{j_0} \left[ F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + F_{2c}^{j_0} \left[ F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + F_{3c}^{j_0} \left[ F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

so  $m(r, \Phi_c^1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 m(r, F_{ic}^{j_0}) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 m\left(r, F_{ic}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}} \right)\right) + 0(1)$ . By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$m\left(r, F_{ic}^{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left( \frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}} \right)\right) = o(T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r))$$

Thus, we get

$$m(r, \Phi_c^1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 m(r, F_{ic}^{j_0}) + o(T(r)), \quad (10)$$

(note that  $T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r) \leq T_{f_i}(r) + O(1)$ ).

By (9) , (10) and by the First Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(r, v_{\Phi_c^1}) &\leq T_{\Phi_c^1}(r) + O(1) = N(r, v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}) + m(r, \Phi_c^1) + O(1) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( N(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}) + m(r, F_{ic}^{j_0}) \right) + \frac{1}{k+1}T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r) + \frac{1}{k+1}T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 T_{f_i}(r) + \frac{1}{k+1}T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)) \\
&= \frac{k+2}{k+1}T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

By (5) and (11) we get Lemma 2.  $\square$

The following lemma is a variant of the Second Main Theorem without taking account of multiplicities of order  $> k$  in the counting functions.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f$  be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$  ( $q \geq n+2$ ) be hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  in general position. Take a positive integer  $k$  with  $\frac{qn}{q-n-1} \leq k \leq +\infty$  . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
T_f(r) &\leq \frac{k}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_f^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r))
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $r > 1$  except a set  $E$  of finite Lebesgue measure.

**Proof.** By the First and the Second Main Theorems, we have

$$(q-n-1)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{k}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \frac{n}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q N_f(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{k}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \frac{qn}{k+1} T_f(r) + o(T_f(r)), \quad r \notin E,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\left(q - n - 1 - \frac{qn}{k+1}\right) T_f(r) \leq \frac{k}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_f(r) &\leq \frac{k}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_f^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)) \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Assume that there exist three distinct mappings  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, p)$ . Denote by  $Q$  the set which contains all indices  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  satisfying  $\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \neq 0$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and some  $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . We now prove that

$$\#(\{1, \dots, q\} \setminus Q) \geq 3n - 1. \quad (12)$$

For the proof of (12) we distinguish three cases:

**Case 1.**  $1 \leq n \leq 3, q = 3n + 1, p = 2, k \geq 23n$ .

Suppose that (12) does not hold, then  $\#Q \geq 3$ . For each  $j_0 \in Q$ , by Lemma 2 (with  $A = \emptyset, p = 2$ ) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \bar{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \bar{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (13)$$

By (13) and Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - n - 1 - \frac{qn}{k+1}\right)T_{f_i}(r) &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk(k+2)}{2(k+1)^2}T(r) + \frac{nk}{2(k+1)}\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T(r)), i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\left(q - n - 1 - \frac{qn}{k+1}\right)T(r) \leq \frac{3nk(k+2)}{2(k+1)^2}T(r) + \frac{nk}{2(k+1)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} &[2(q-n-1)(k+1)^2 - 2qn(k+1) - 3nk(k+2)]T(r) \\ &\leq nk(k+1) \sum_{i=1}^3 \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T(r)) = 3nk(k+1)\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E} \frac{\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0})}{T(r)} &\geq \frac{2(q-n-1)(k+1)^2 - 2qn(k+1) - 3nk(k+2)}{3nk(k+1)} \\ &= \frac{k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2}{3k(k+1)}, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Set

$$A_i := \{r > 1 : T_{f_i}(r) = \min\{T_{f_1}(r), T_{f_2}(r), T_{f_3}(r)\}\}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Then  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 = (1, +\infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the Lebesgue measure of  $A_1$  is infinite. By (14) we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \in A_1 \setminus E} \frac{\overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0})}{T_{f_1}(r)} \geq \frac{k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2}{k(k+1)}, j_0 \in Q.$$

Take three distinct indices  $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in Q$  (note that  $\#Q \geq 3$ ). Then we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \in A_1 \setminus E} \frac{\overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_1}) + \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_2}) + \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_3})}{T_{f_1}(r)} \geq \frac{3(k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2)}{k(k+1)},$$

which implies that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^k(r, H_j)}{T_{f_1}(r)} \geq \frac{3(k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2)}{k(k+1)}. \quad (15)$$

Since  $f_1 \not\equiv f_2$  there exists  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\frac{(f_1, H_1)}{(f_1, H_c)} \not\equiv \frac{(f_2, H_1)}{(f_2, H_c)}$ . Indeed, otherwise by Lemma 1 we have that  $\frac{(f_1, H_1)}{(f_1, H)} \equiv \frac{(f_2, H_1)}{(f_2, H)}$  for all hyperplanes  $H$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . In particular  $\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_j)} \equiv \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_j)}$  for all  $j = 2, \dots, n+1$ . We choose homogeneous coordinates  $(\omega_0 : \dots : \omega_n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  with  $H_j = \{\omega_j = 0\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ ) and take reduced representations:  $f_1 = (f_{1_1} : \dots : f_{1_{n+1}})$ ,  $f_2 = (f_{2_1} : \dots : f_{2_{n+1}})$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{f_{1_j}}{f_{1_1}} = \frac{f_{2_j}}{f_{2_1}} \\ (j = 2, \dots, n+1) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \frac{f_{1_1}}{f_{2_1}} = \dots = \frac{f_{1_{n+1}}}{f_{2_{n+1}}} \Rightarrow f_1 \equiv f_2.$$

This is a contradiction.

Since  $\dim(f_i^{-1}(H_1) \cap f_i^{-1}(H_c)) \leq m-2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\frac{(f_i, H_1)}{(f_i, H_c)}}(r) &= \int_{S(r)} \log(|(f_i, H_1)|^2 + |(f_i, H_c)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma + O(1) \\ &\leq \int_{S(r)} \log \|f_i\| \sigma + O(1) = T_{f_i}(r) + O(1), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_1 = f_2$  on  $\bigcup_{j=1}^q \{z : v_{(f_1, H_j)}^k(z) > 0\}$  and

$\dim\{z : v_{(f_1, H_i)}^k(z) > 0 \text{ and } v_{(f_1, H_j)}^k(z) > 0\} \leq m-2$  for all  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^k(r, H_j) &\leq N\left(r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_1)}{(f_1, H_c)} - \frac{(f_2, H_1)}{(f_2, H_c)}}\right) \leq T_{\frac{(f_1, H_1)}{(f_1, H_c)} - \frac{(f_2, H_1)}{(f_2, H_c)}}(r) + 0(1) \\ &\leq T_{\frac{(f_1, H_1)}{(f_1, H_c)}}(r) + T_{\frac{(f_2, H_1)}{(f_2, H_c)}}(r) + 0(1) \leq T_{f_1}(r) + T_{f_2}(r) + 0(1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f_1}(r) + T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^k(r, H_j)} \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - n - 1 - \frac{qn}{k+1}\right) T_{f_i}(r) &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \\ &= \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) , \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f_i}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} \leq \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn} , \quad i = 1, 2, 3 .$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f_1}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{T_{f_1}(r) + T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} - \frac{T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} \right) \\ &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f_1}(r) + T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} \geq 1 - \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)}{T_{f_1}(r)} &\leq \frac{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn - nk} \\ &= \frac{2k+1-3n}{k+1-3n} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By (15) and (16) we have

$$\frac{3(k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2)}{k(k+1)} \leq \frac{2k+1-3n}{k+1-3n} .$$

This contradicts  $k \geq 23n$ . Thus, we get (12) in this case.



**Case 2.**  $4 \leq n \leq 6$ ,  $q = 3n$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $k \geq \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$ .

Suppose that (12) does not hold, then there exists  $j_0 \in Q$ . By Lemma 2 (with  $A = \emptyset$ ,  $p = 2$ ) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) &\geq \frac{(2n-2)(k+1) - (3n-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r), \text{ and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{3n} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) &\geq \frac{(2n-1)(k+1) - 3n^2}{nk} T_{f_i}(r), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r)$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T(r) \leq \frac{3(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} ((4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n) T(r) &\leq \frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq 3n(k+1) T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain  $k+1 \leq \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus, we get (12) in this case.

**Case 3.**  $n \geq 7$ ,  $q = 3n - 1$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $k \geq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6}$ .

Suppose that (12) does not hold, then there exists  $j_0 \in Q$ . By Lemma 2 (with  $A = \emptyset$ ,  $p = 1$ ) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n-1} \bar{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

(note that  $N_{0, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) = 0$ ). On the other hand, by Lemma 3, we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n-1} \bar{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq 2 \frac{(2n-3)(k+1) - (3n-2)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r)$$

Hence, we get

$$\frac{2[(2n-3)(k+1) - (3n-2)n]}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies

$$((4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n) T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n) T(r) &\leq \frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq 3n(k+1) T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n \leq 3n(k+1)$$

implying

$$k+1 \leq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we get (12) in this case.

So, for any case we have  $\#\{1, \dots, q\} \setminus Q \geq 3n - 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $1, \dots, 3n - 1 \notin Q$ . We have

$$\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \equiv 0 \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C}, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}, j \in \{1, \dots, 3n - 1\}.$$

On the other hand,  $\mathcal{C}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Hence,  $\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \equiv 0$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, 3n-1\}$ . In particular (for  $H_c = H_i$ ) we have

$$\Phi^l \left( \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)}, \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)}, \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} \right) \equiv 0$$

for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n-1$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . (17)

In the following we distinguish between the cases  $n = 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

**Case 1.** If  $n = 1$ , then  $a_j := H_j(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  are distinct points in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . We have that

$$g_1 := \frac{(f_1, a_1)}{(f_1, a_2)}, \quad g_2 := \frac{(f_2, a_1)}{(f_2, a_2)}, \quad g_3 := \frac{(f_3, a_1)}{(f_3, a_2)}$$

are distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions. By (17) and by Theorem 2.2, there exist constants  $\alpha, \beta$  such that

$$g_2 = \alpha g_1, \quad g_3 = \beta g_1, \quad (\alpha, \beta \notin \{1, \infty, 0\}, \alpha \neq \beta) \quad (18)$$

We have  $v_{(f_1, a_3)} \geq k+1$  on  $\{z : (f_1, a_3)(z) = 0\}$ : Indeed, otherwise there exists  $z_0$  such that  $0 < v_{(f_1, a_3)}(z_0) \leq k$ . Then  $v_{(f_i, a_3)}^k(z_0) > 0$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . We have  $(f_1, a_3)(z_0) = (f_2, a_3)(z_0) = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(z_0) = f_2(z_0) = a_3^*$ , where we denote  $a_j^* := (a_{j1} : -a_{j0})$  for every point  $a_j = (a_{j0} : a_{j1}) \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ . So  $g_1(z_0) = g_2(z_0) = \frac{(a_3^*, a_1)}{(a_3^*, a_2)} \neq 0, \infty$  (note that  $a_3 \neq a_1, a_3 \neq a_2$ ). So, by (18) we have  $\alpha = 1$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $v_{(f_1, a_3)} \geq k+1$  on  $\{z : (f_1, a_3)(z) = 0\}$ . Similarly,  $v_{(f_i, a_j)} \geq k+1$  on  $\{z : (f_i, a_j)(z) = 0\}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $j \in \{3, 4\}$ .

Set  $b_1 = \alpha \frac{(a_3^*, a_1)}{(a_3^*, a_2)}$ ,  $b_2 = \frac{\alpha (a_3^*, a_1)}{\beta (a_3^*, a_2)}$ ,  $b_3 = \frac{(a_3^*, a_1)}{(a_3^*, a_2)}$ . Then we have

$$v_{g_2-b_3} = v_{\frac{(f_2, a_3)(a_1^*, a_2)}{(f_2, a_2)(a_3^*, a_2)}} \geq k+1 \quad \text{on} \quad \{z : (g_2 - b_3)(z) = 0\},$$

$$v_{g_2-b_1} = v_{g_1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} b_1} = v_{\frac{(f_1, a_3)(a_1^*, a_2)}{(f_1, a_2)(a_3^*, a_2)}} \geq k+1 \quad \text{on} \quad \{z : (g_2 - b_1)(z) = 0\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$v_{g_2-b_2} = v_{g_3 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} b_2} = v_{\frac{(f_3, a_3)(a_1^*, a_2)}{(f_3, a_2)(a_3^*, a_2)}} \geq k+1 \quad \text{on} \quad \{z : (g_2 - b_2)(z) = 0\}.$$

Since the points  $b_1, b_2, b_3$  are distinct, by the First and the Second Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g_2}(r) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \overline{N}(r, v_{g_2-b_j}) + o(T_{g_2}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^3 N(r, v_{g_2-b_j}) + o(T_{g_2}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{k+1} T_{g_2}(r) + o(T_{g_2}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts  $k \geq 23$ .

**Case 2.** If  $n \geq 2$ , for each  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n-1$ , by (17) and Theorem 2.2., there exists a constant  $\alpha_{ij}$  such that

$$\frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij} \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij} \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij} \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)} \quad (19)$$

We now prove that  $\alpha_{ij} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n-1$ . Indeed, if there exists  $\alpha_{i_0 j_0} \neq 1$ , without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\frac{(f_2, H_{j_0})}{(f_2, H_{i_0})} = \alpha_{i_0 j_0} \frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}$ . On the other hand  $f_1 = f_2$  on  $\Omega := \bigcup_{j=1}^q \{z : v_{(f_1, H_j)}^k(z) > 0\}$ . Hence,  $(f_1, H_{j_0}) = (f_2, H_{j_0}) = 0$  on  $\Omega \setminus f_1^{-1}(H_{i_0})$ . So we have

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \leq N\left(r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}\right) + \left(\overline{N}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) - \overline{N}^{(k)}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})})\right).$$

Thus, by the First and the Second Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q-n-2)T_{f_1}(r) &\leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{n, f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{1, f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^k(r, H_j) + \frac{n}{k+1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} N\left(r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}\right) + \frac{nk}{k+1} \left( \overline{N}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) - \overline{N}^k(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} T_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}(r) + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} N_{f_1}(r, H_{i_0}) + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\
&\leq \left( \frac{nk}{k+1} + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} \right) T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r))
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get  $(q - n - 2) \leq \frac{nk}{k+1} + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} \leq n + \frac{qn}{k}$ . This contradicts any of the following cases:

- i)  $2 \leq n \leq 3$ ,  $q = 3n + 1$  and  $k \geq 23n$ ,
- ii)  $4 \leq n \leq 6$ ,  $q = 3n$  and  $k \geq \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$ ,
- iii)  $n \geq 7$ ,  $q = 3n - 1$  and  $k \geq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6}$ .

Thus  $\alpha_{ij} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n - 1$ .

By (19), for  $i = 3n - 1, j \in \{1, \dots, 3n - 2\}$ , without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_{3n-1})} = \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_{3n-1})}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n : \quad (20)$$

For  $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$ , denote by  $L_{sv}$  the set of all  $j \in \{1, \dots, 3n - 2\}$  such that  $\frac{(f_s, H_j)}{(f_s, H_{3n-1})} = \frac{(f_v, H_j)}{(f_v, H_{3n-1})}$ . By (19) we have  $L_{12} \cup L_{23} \cup L_{13} = \{1, \dots, 3n - 2\}$ . So by Dirichlet we have that one of the three sets contains at least  $n$  different indices, which are, without loss of generality,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , which proves (20).

We choose homogeneous coordinates  $(\omega_0 : \dots : \omega_n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  with  $H_j = \{\omega_j = 0\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ),  $H_{3n-1} = \{\omega_0 = 0\}$  and take reduced representations:  $f_1 = (f_{1_0} : \dots : f_{1_n})$ ,  $f_2 = (f_{2_0} : \dots : f_{2_n})$ . Then by (20) we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{f_{1_j}}{f_{1_0}} = \frac{f_{2_j}}{f_{2_0}} \\ (j = 1, \dots, n) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \frac{f_{1_0}}{f_{2_0}} = \dots = \frac{f_{1_n}}{f_{2_n}} \Rightarrow f_1 \equiv f_2.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, for any case we have that  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  can not be distinct. Hence, the Proof of Theorem 1 is complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Assume that  $\#F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1) \geq 3$ . Take arbitrarily three distinct mappings  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$ . We have to prove that  $f_s \times f_v : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$  is linearly degenerate for all  $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$ .

Denote by  $Q$  the set which contains all indices  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  satisfying  $\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \neq 0$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ . We distinguish between the two cases  $n$  odd and  $n$  even:

**Case 1.** If  $n$  is odd, then  $q = \frac{5(n+1)}{2}$ .

We now prove that:  $Q = \emptyset$ . (21)

Indeed, otherwise there exist  $j_0 \in Q$ . Then by Lemma 2 (with  $A = \emptyset, p = 1$ ) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

(note that  $N_{0, f_i}^k(r, H_{j_0}) = 0$ ). On the other hand, by Lemma 3 we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{2[(q-n-2)(k+1) - (q-1)n]}{nk} T_{f_i}(r), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we have

$$((2q - 2n - 4)(k + 1) - 2(q - 1)n) T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{(k + 2)nk}{k + 1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left( (2q - 2n - 4)(k + 1) - 2(q - 1)n \right) T(r) &\leq \frac{3(k + 2)nk}{k + 1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq 3n(k + 1) T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(2q - 2n - 4)(k + 1) - 2(q - 1)n \leq 3n(k + 1)$$

implying

$$k + 1 \leq (5n + 3)n.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, we get (21).

**Case 2.** If  $n$  is even, then  $q = \frac{5n+4}{2}$ .

We now prove that  $\#Q \leq 1$ . (22)

Indeed, suppose that this assertion does not hold, then there exist two distinct indices  $j_0, j_1 \in Q$ . By Lemma 2 (with  $A = \emptyset, p = 1$ ) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which implies that, for  $i=1,2,3$

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \left( \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \right) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r))$$

$$- \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we get

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \right) \leq \frac{3(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r))$$

$$- \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \sum_{i=1}^3 N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j), \quad (23)$$

By Lemma 3 (with  $q = \frac{5n+4}{2}$ ), we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{3n(k+1) - (5n+2)n}{k} T_{f_i}(r) \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \sum_{i=1}^3 N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T(r)) \geq \frac{3n(k+1) - (5n+2)n}{nk} T(r) \quad (24)$$

By (23) and (24) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \right) \leq \frac{(5n+2)n(k+1) - 3n}{nk(k+1)} T(r) + o(T(r))$$

$$\leq \frac{5n+2}{k} T(r) + o(T(r)).$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$\overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n}N_{n, f_i}^k(r, H_j) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, j \in \{1, \dots, q\}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n}N_{n, f_i}^k(r, H_j) \right) \leq \frac{5n+2}{k}T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus \{j_0\}.$$

In particular, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_{j_1}) - \frac{1}{n}N_{n, f_i}^k(r, H_{j_1}) \right) \leq \frac{5n+2}{k}T(r) + o(T(r)) \quad (25)$$

Set  $A_i := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : v_{(f_i, H_{j_1})}(z) = 1\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we have  $\overline{A}_i \setminus A_i \subseteq \text{sing} f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$ . Indeed, otherwise there existed  $a \in (\overline{A}_i \setminus A_i) \cap \text{reg} f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$ . Then  $p_0 := v_{(f_i, H_{j_1})}(a) \geq 2$ . Since  $a$  is a regular point of  $f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$  we can choose nonzero holomorphic functions  $h$  and  $u$  on a neighborhood  $U$  of  $a$  such that  $dh$  and  $u$  have no zeroes and  $(f_i, H_{j_1}) \equiv h^{p_0}u$  on  $U$ . Since  $a \in \overline{A}_i$  there exists  $b \in A_i \cap U$ . Then, we get  $1 = v_{(f_i, H_{j_1})}(b) = v_{h^{p_0}u}(b) = p_0 \geq 2$ . This is a contradiction. Thus, we get that  $\overline{A}_i \setminus A_i \subseteq \text{sing} f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$ .

Set  $B := A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$ . Then  $\overline{B} \setminus B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \text{sing} f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$ . This means that  $\overline{B} \setminus B$  is included in an analytic set of codimension  $\geq 2$ . So we have

$$(n-1)\overline{N}(r, \overline{B}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( n \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_{j_1}) - N_{n, f_i}^k(r, H_{j_1}) \right).$$

By (25) we have

$$\overline{N}(r, \overline{B}) \leq \frac{(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k}T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

where we note that  $n \geq 2$ , since  $n$  is even. It is clear that  $\min\{v_{(f_1, H_{j_1})}^k, 2\} = \min\{v_{(f_2, H_{j_1})}^k, 2\} = \min\{v_{(f_3, H_{j_1})}^k, 2\}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^m \setminus \overline{B} (\subseteq \mathbb{C}^m \setminus B)$ .



By Lemma 2 (with  $A = \overline{B}$ ,  $p = 2$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_1}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_{j_1}) &\leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + 4\overline{N}(r, \overline{B}) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{k+2}{k+1} + \frac{4(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k} \right) T(r) + o(T(r)), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

(note that  $j_1 \in Q$ ). By Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_1}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) &\geq \frac{(q-n-2)(k+1) - (q-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r), \text{ and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) &\geq \frac{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn}{nk} T_{f_i}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_1}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{f_i}^k(r, H_{j_1}) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{(2q-2n-3)(k+1) - (2q-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \quad (27)$$

By (26) and (27) we have

$$\frac{(2q-2n-3)(k+1) - (2q-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \leq \left( \frac{k+2}{k+1} + \frac{4(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k} \right) T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} ((3n+1)(k+1) - (5n+3)n) T(r) &\leq \left( \frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)} \right) T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq \left( 3n(k+1) + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)} \right) T(r) + o(T(r)), \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$k+1 \leq (5n+3)n + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)}.$$

This contradicts  $k \geq (65n+171)n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Hence, we have  $\#Q \leq 1$ . So we get (22).

By (21) and (22) we have  $\#\{1, \dots, q\} \setminus Q \geq q - 1$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $1, \dots, q - 1 \notin Q$ . For any  $j \in \{1, \dots, q - 1\}$  we have

$$\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \equiv 0 \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C}, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

On the other hand,  $\mathcal{C}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Hence, we get that  $\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \equiv 0$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, q - 1\}$ . In particular (for  $H_c = H_i$ ), we get

$$\Phi^l \left( \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)}, \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)}, \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} \right) \equiv 0$$

for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q - 1$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

For each  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q - 1$ , by Theorem 2.2, there exists a constant  $\alpha_{ij}$  such that

$$\frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij} \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \text{ or } \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij} \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \text{ or } \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij} \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)}.$$

We now prove that

$$\alpha_{ij} = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq q - 1. \quad (28)$$

Indeed, if there exists  $\alpha_{i_0 j_0} \neq 1$ , without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\frac{(f_2, H_{j_0})}{(f_2, H_{i_0})} = \alpha_{i_0 j_0} \frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}$ . On the other hand, we have  $f_1 = f_2$  on

$D := \bigcup_{j=1}^q \{z : v_{(f_1, H_j)}^k > 0\}$ . Hence, we get  $(f_1, H_{j_0}) = (f_2, H_{j_0}) = 0$  on  $D \setminus f_1^{-1}(H_{i_0})$ . So we have

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^k(r, H_j) \leq N \left( r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}} \right) + \left( \overline{N}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) - \overline{N}^k(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) \right).$$

Thus, by the First and the Second Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q - n - 2)T_{f_1}(r) &\leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{n, f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{1, f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^k(r, H_j) + \frac{n}{k+1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} N\left(r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}\right) + \frac{nk}{k+1} \left( \overline{N}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) - \overline{N}^k(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} T_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}(r) + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} N_{f_1}(r, H_{i_0}) + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\
&\leq \left( \frac{nk}{k+1} + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} \right) T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r))
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have  $(q - n - 2) \leq \frac{nk}{k+1} + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} \leq n + \frac{nq}{k}$ .

This contradicts  $q = \left\lceil \frac{5(n+1)}{2} \right\rceil$ ,  $k \geq (65n + 171)n$ . Thus, we get that  $\alpha_{ij} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q-1$ .

For  $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$ , denote by  $L_{sv}$  the set of all  $j \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$  such that  $\frac{(f_s, H_j)}{(f_s, H_{q-1})} = \frac{(f_v, H_j)}{(f_v, H_{q-1})}$ . By (28), we have that  $L_{12} \cup L_{23} \cup L_{13} = \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ .

If there exists some  $L_{sv} = \emptyset$ , without loss of generality, we may assume that  $L_{13} = \emptyset$ . Then  $L_{12} \cup L_{23} = \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ . Since  $q = \left\lceil \frac{5(n+1)}{2} \right\rceil$  we have that  $\#L_{12} \geq n$  or  $\#L_{23} \geq n$ . We may assume that  $\#L_{12} \geq n$ , and furthermore  $1, \dots, n \in L_{12}$ . Then  $\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_{q-1})} = \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_{q-1})}$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , so  $f_1 \equiv f_2$  (as in the proof of Theorem 1). This is a contradiction.

Thus, we have  $L_{sv} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$ . Then for any  $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$  such that  $\frac{(f_s, H_j)}{(f_s, H_{q-1})} = \frac{(f_v, H_j)}{(f_v, H_{q-1})}$ . Hence, we finally get that  $f_s \times f_v : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$  is linearly degenerate. We thus have completed the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Cartan, *Un nouveau théorème d'unicité relatif aux fonctions méromorphes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **188** (1929), 301-330.

- [2] H. Fujimoto, *The uniqueness problem of meromorphic maps into the complex projective space*, Nagoya Math. J. **58** (1975), 1-23.
- [3] H. Fujimoto, *Nonintegrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into  $P^{N_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times P^{N_k}(\mathbb{C})$* , Japan. J. Math. **11** (1985), 233-264.
- [4] H. Fujimoto, *Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities in value distribution theory*, Nagoya Math. J. **152** (1998), 131-152.
- [5] S. Ji, *Uniqueness problem without multiplicities in value distribution theory*, Pacific J. Math. **135** (1988), 323-348.
- [6] R. Nevanlinna, *Einige Eideutigkeitssätze in der Theorie der meromorphen Funktionen*, Acta. Math. **48** (1926), 367-391.
- [7] J. Noguchi, T. Ochiai, *Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **80** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 1990.
- [8] B. Shiffman, *Introduction to the Carlson-Griffiths equidistribution theory*, Lecture Note in Math. **981**, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [9] L. Smiley, *Geometric conditions for unicity of holomorphic curves*, Contemp. Math. **25** (1983), pp. 149-154.
- [10] N. Steinmetz, *A uniqueness theorem for three meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. **13** (1988), 93-110.
- [11] G. Dethloff, Tran Van Tan, *Uniqueness problem for meromorphic mappings with truncated multiplicities and moving targets*, to appear in Nagoya Math. J.

Gerd Dethloff  
 Université de Bretagne Occidentale  
 UFR Sciences et Techniques  
 Département de Mathématiques  
 6, avenue Le Gorgeu, BP 452  
 29275 Brest Cedex, France  
 e-mail: gerd.dethloff@univ-brest.fr

Tran Van Tan  
Department of Mathematics  
Hanoi University of Education  
Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam  
e-mail: tranvantanh@yahoo.com