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Anaerobic oxidation of methane in hypersaline cold seep sediments

Loïs Maignien1, R. John Parkes2, Barry Cragg2, Helge Niemann3,4, Katrin Knittel3, Stephanie Coulon1, Andrey Akhmetzhanov5,6 & Nico Boon1

1Laboratory of Microbial Ecology and Technology (LabMET), Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; 2Laboratory of Geomicrobiology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; 3Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology, Bremen, Germany; 4 Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; 5National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK; and 6LUKOIL Overseas UK Limited, London, UK

Correspondence: Loïs Maignien, Marine Biological Laboratory, 7 MBL street, 02543 Woods Hole MA, USA.
Tel.: +1 508 289 7282; fax: +1 508 457 4727; e-mail: lmaignien@mbl.edu

Received 20 April 2012; revised 28 July 2012; accepted 1 August 2012.

DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01466.x

Editor: Julian Marchesi

Keywords
methane cold seep; Mercator mud volcano; sulphate reduction; 16S rDNA gene libraries; Gulf of Cadiz; Captain Arutyunov mud volcano.

Abstract
Life in hypersaline environments is typically limited by bioenergetic constraints. Microbial activity at the thermodynamic edge, such as the anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) coupled to sulphate reduction (SR), is thus unlikely to thrive in these environments. In this study, carbon and sulphur cycling was investigated in the extremely hypersaline cold seep sediments of Mercator mud volcano. AOM activity was partially inhibited but still present at salinity levels of 292 g L−1 (c. eightfold sea water concentration) with rates of 2.3 nmol cm−3 day−1 and was even detectable under saturated conditions. Methane and evaporite-derived sulphate comigrated in the ascending geofluids, which, in combination with a partial activity inhibition, resulted in AOM activity being spread over unusually wide depth intervals. Up to 79% of total cells in the AOM zone were identified by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) as anaerobic methanotrophs of the ANME-1. Most ANME-1 cells formed monospecific chains without any attached partner. At all sites, AOM activity co-occurred with SR activity and sometimes significantly exceeded it. Possible causes of these unexpected results are discussed. This study demonstrates that in spite of a very low energy yield of AOM, microorganisms carrying this reaction can thrive in salinity up to halite saturation.

Introduction

Marine mud volcanoes (MV) are formed by the extrusion of fluidized sediments of deep origin to the sea floor (Milkov, 2000; Dimitrov, 2002; Kopf, 2002; Niemann & Boetius, 2010). Erupted material (mud breccia) often contains high amounts of methane and occasionally higher hydrocarbons (Kopf, 2002 and reference therein). The migration of hydrocarbons towards the sediment surface typically fuels a great variety of microorganisms and symbiotic fauna that harvest energy from these reduced molecules, directly or indirectly, using sea water electron acceptors (e.g. O2, NO3, SO42−). Hydrocarbon seepage therefore promotes the development of thriving cold seep ecosystems, which support high standing stocks of free-living and symbiotic chemosynthetic microorganisms. One of the most important processes at cold seeps is the anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) coupled to sulphate reduction (SR; Knittel & Boetius, 2009 and references therein):

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_4^{2−} \rightarrow \text{HS}^- + \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\Delta G^{\circ} = -16 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}
\]

On a global scale, this reaction oxidizes more than 80% of uprising CH4 in the sea floor (Reeburgh, 2007 and references therein).

AOM is performed by three groups of Euryarchaeota related to methanogens: ANME-1, ANME-2 and ANME-3 (Hinrichs et al., 1999; Boetius et al., 2000; Orphan et al., 2001, 2002; Knittel et al., 2005; Niemann et al., 2006b). These anaerobic methanotrophs often form consortia with sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB) of the genera Desulfovarcina/Desulfococcus (DSS) or Desulfobulbus (Knittel...
et al., 2003; Losekann et al., 2007). On the basis of these observations, a syntrophic relationship between these microorganisms has been postulated (Boetius et al., 2000; Orphan et al., 2001) with an up to now unidentified interspecies transfer agent mediating electron transfer from methane-oxidizing ANME cells to their sulphate-reducing partners. The typical geochemical signature of AOM activity in marine sediments is the presence of a so-called sulphate–methane transition zone (SMTZ), that is, opposed gradients of downward diffusing sulphate and upward migrating methane (Reeburgh, 2007 and references therein). Microorganisms mediating AOM are usually present and active in a narrow depth interval at the interface between these gradients (Knittel & Boetius, 2009 and references therein).

In addition to this sulphate-dependent mode, AOM may also be coupled to the reduction of oxidized metal species, such as Fe(III) and Mn(IV) (Beal et al., 2009), and N species (Raghoebarsing et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2009; Ettwig et al., 2010). So far, evidence for an environmental significance of these pathways, particularly in marine environments, is missing.

On the basis of an inventory of known metabolisms able to thrive in hypersaline environments, it has been proposed that the upper limit of salt concentration at which energy conservation can occur 'primarily depends on bioenergetic constraints' and secondly on the 'mode of osmotic adaptation used' (Oren, 1999, 2011). Balancing cell osmotic pressure by active ion pumping or the production of compatible solutes is indeed energetically costly and apparently excludes dissimilatory pathways characterized by low energy yields. From an energetic standpoint, it is thus surprising that a few studies could detect AOM in hypersaline environments (Oren, 2011 and references therein). Yet, the influence of salinity on AOM activity and microbial community composition is not well constrained. One study, for instance, found geochemical and molecular evidence for AOM activity mediated by ANME-1/SRB in hypersaline sediment of the Gulf of Mexico (Lloyd et al., 2006), while a recent study on a deep-sea brine pool and a MV, in contrast, suggested that presence of hydrogen prevented AOM activity in spite of the presence of both methane and sulphate (Joye et al., 2009). Circumstantial evidence indicates a dominance of ANME-1 over the other ANME groups in hypersaline settings, thus suggesting that this clade might be more tolerant to high salinity (Daffonchio et al., 2006; Lloyd et al., 2006; Yakimov et al., 2007; Niederberger et al., 2010; La Cono et al., 2011). Nevertheless, significant ANME-1 populations are also found in nonhypersaline methane seeps, for example, in the Eel River Basin (off California), the Black Sea microbial mats, the Hydrate Ridge (off Oregon) or the North Sea Tommeliten seeps (Supporting information, Data S1; Orphan et al., 2002; Knittel et al., 2005; Niemann et al., 2005; Treude et al., 2005).

Recently, a hypersaline mud volcano (Mercator MV) that bears large amounts of methane was discovered in the Gulf of Cadiz, East Atlantic (Van Rensbergen et al., 2005; Nuzzo et al., 2009; Scholz et al., 2009; Perez-Garcia et al., 2011). This provides a very good opportunity to study the microbial ecology of methane and sulphur cycling in a deep-sea hypersaline environment. Here, we document for the first time the microbial activity and community structure in relation to geochemical settings at Mercator MV, with the aim to understand the functioning of AOM communities under extreme hypersaline conditions. Our results are compared with those from Captain Arutyunov MV (CAMV), a nonhypersaline MV in the vicinity of the Mercator MV.

**Materials and methods**

**Coring and sampling**

Gravity or piston corer, and mega corer (GC, PC and MC, respectively) were deployed at each of the Mercator MV sites. Sediment recovery largely varied between cores, probably due to the presence of large halite/gypsum crystals, hard grounds in shallow subsurface or dry sediment horizons. Cores with enough sediment recovery for downstream analysis were selected for this study. Upon recovery, GCs and PCs were cut into 1-m sections and stored at 4 °C until further processing (< 10 h after recovery). MCs as well as GC and PC core sections were subsampled by extruding sediments from the core using a plunger.

**Geochemistry**

Pore water was extracted from 25 mL sediment using a pore water press (Reeburgh, 1967) equipped with 0.45-µm pore-size nitrocellulose filters. Pore water was then filtered through 0.1-µm pore-size filters prior to sample storage. For sulphide measurement, 1 mL of pore water was preserved in 500 µL zinc acetate solution (10% w/v). AVS was extracted from sediment sealed in a glass jar by adding 6 N HCl under continuous N2 flow collected in a 7 mL Zn acetate trap, using the experimental setup described in the study by Kallmeyer et al. (2004). Concentration of both dissolved and AVS was measured colorimetrically using the methylene blue method (Cline, 1969). Sulphate, chloride and acetate were measured by ion chromatography as described in the study by Parkes et al. (2007). Methylated amines were analysed using a DX-120 (DIONEX, Camberley, UK) fitted with an IonPac CS16 column coupled with a CSRS 300 4 mm suppressor
and a conductivity detector. Separation of pore water constituents was achieved using methanesulphonic acid eluent (25 mM) at a flow rate of 0.75 mL min\(^{-1}\). Prior to analysis, samples were diluted 1:15 (v/v) in ultrapure deionized water (Milli-Q) for samples with sea water levels of chloride and 1:159 (v/v) for sample with higher chloride concentrations. Maximum concentration observed in pore water samples from both MV was far below the accurate detection limit of the method (c. 400 \(\mu\)M as determined empirically). The highest methylamine concentration was about 5 \(\mu\)M, indicating the most probable detection limit of the method. This value was taken as an upper limit for pore water methylamine pool and used to calculate maximum potential methylamine MG (see ex situ rate measurement below).

Dissolved gas in pore water was analysed on shore according to the study by Parkes et al. (2007): 2 cm\(^3\) of sediment (sampled with a 5 mL cut-off syringe) was transferred into a glass vial containing 6 mL NaOH (2.5%, w/v), which was then immediately sealed with a butyl rubber septum and stored upside down. The headspace was analysed for \(\text{H}_2\), CH\(_4\) and higher volatile hydrocarbons with a modified two channels Perkin Elmer/Arnel 2101 natural gas analyser. Channel A was equipped with three columns (1/8”SF*2.5’ 30% DC-200 on Chromosorb PAW80/100, 1/8”SF*30’ 30%DC-200 on Chromosorb PAW 80/100 and 1/8”SF*6’ ASAG 60/80, respectively) and with both a thermal conductivity and a flame ionization detector (TCD and FID). FID was operated at 45 mL min\(^{-1}\) hydrogen and 450 mL min\(^{-1}\) air. Channel B was also equipped with three columns (1/8”SF*6”Silica Gel 60/80, 1/8”SF*4”HayeSep T 60/80 and 1/8”SF*9”Molecular Sieve 5A 45/60) and a TCD. The system was run under isothermal conditions (110 °C). Compound assignment and quantification were carried out by direct comparison with known standards (Scott Specialty Gases). Dissolved inorganic carbon concentrations (\(\Sigma\text{CO}_2\)) were determined from 1.25-mL samples of pore water, fixed with 20 mL HgCl\(_2\) and sealed, without headspace, in a glass vial using the rapid small volume flow injection method of measuring aqueous \(\text{CO}_2\) (Hall & Aller, 1992) with the gas analyser described above.

**Ex situ rate measurements**

For AOM and SR rates measurements, cores were subsampled with mini cores (polycarbonate tube, diameter 2.5 cm, length 20 cm) with silicone-sealed side injection ports spaced every 2 cm, or with glass barrels (diameter 1 cm, length 6 cm) with a syringe plunger at one end, and a butyl rubber stopper at the other end as injection port (for details see Treude et al., 2005). Within 2 h after sampling, methane radiotracer (25 \(\mu\)L of aqueous \(^{14}\text{CH}_4\) solution, 5 KBq) or sulphate radiotracer (5 \(\mu\)L of aqueous \(^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) solution, 60 KBq) was injected through the injection ports, and samples were incubated for 24 h in the dark at in situ bottom water temperature measured during ROV dives (e.g. 13 °C for Mercator MV stations and 4 °C for CAMV). After incubation, AOM and SR reactions were stopped by transferring the samples into glass flasks containing 25 mL NaOH (2.5% w/v) or 50-mL plastic tubes containing 20 mL zinc acetate solution (20% w/v), respectively. Methane and sulphate turnover was determined as described elsewhere (Jørgensen, 1978; Treude et al., 2003; Kallmeyer et al., 2004). AOM and SR rates were determined as the product of turnover and methane or sulphate concentration, respectively. For AOM rates, methane concentration was corrected for maximum solubility at ambient pressure during incubations (i.e. 1 bar) and in situ salinity (Duan & Mao, 2006).

Rates of MG were measured as described in the study by Parkes et al. (2007). Briefly, sediment was subsampled using either mini cores (diameter 1.5 cm, length 10 cm) with silicone-filled side injection holes (4 \(\mu\)L substrate per 1 cm depth interval) or 10-mL cut-off syringes closed with rubber stoppers (7.5 \(\mu\)L substrate per syringe). The samples were preincubated for 24 h in nitrogen-flushed gas-tight bags to allow methane concentration equilibration prior to radiotracer injection. \(^{14}\text{C}\)-labelled carbonate (10 KBq \(\mu\)L\(^{-1}\)), acetate (6 KBq \(\mu\)L\(^{-1}\)), methanol (2.05 KBq \(\mu\)L\(^{-1}\)) or methylamine (7.25 KBq \(\mu\)L\(^{-1}\)) were injected, and samples were incubated at in situ bottom water temperature for 7–21 h in nitrogen-flushed gas-tight bags. Microbial reactions were then stopped by transferring incubations into glass jars containing 7 mL of 1 M NaOH. In the laboratory, \(^{14}\text{C}\) methane was determined as for AOM. Substrate turnover was determined according to the radioactivity of the trapped \(\text{CO}_2\) and the amount of added label in the product pool. Rates were calculated as the product of turnover values times total \(\text{CO}_2\) or acetate concentrations. For methylamine MG, rates were obtained by multiplying the substrate turnover value by an arbitrary methylamine concentration of 5 \(\mu\)M (as methylamine concentration in pore water was consistently below detection limit). Me-MG rates should thus be considered as maximum potential rates rather than actual ex situ rates.

**Nucleic acid extraction and amplification**

At Mercator Rim 1 (32–33 cmbsf depth interval), environmental DNA was extracted from five replicates sediment sample, each of 0.3 g sediment, using the PowerSoil DNA extraction Kit (MoBio Labconsult, Brussels, Belgium) according to manufacturer recommendations. 16S tRNA genes were amplified using Arch20f/Uni1392r primer pair for Archaea (Kane et al., 1993; Massana et al.,
Clones were clustered according to restriction pattern using the Jaccard similarity index, and when a cluster contained multiple clones, at least two representative clones were sequenced. Bidirectional sequencing was carried out according to the study by Pernthaler et al. (2001, 2002). For CARD-FISH, the following modifications were applied: for permeabilization of rigid archaeal cell walls, 15 µg mL⁻¹ proteinase K was used (3 min at room temperature); for bacterial cell wall permeabilization, 10 mg mL⁻¹ lysozyme (15 min at 37 °C). Horseradish-peroxidase- (CARD-FISH) or Cy3-labelled (FISH) probes targeting the following groups were used: probes Eub338 (Stahl & Amann, 1991) specific for most Archaea (35% formamide in hybridization buffer or FA), probe Arch915 (Stahl & Amann, 1991) specific for most Archaea (35% FA), probe ANME1-350 (Boetius et al., 2000) specific for ANME-1 (40% FA), probe EelMS-932 (Boetius et al., 2000) specific for ANME-2 (40% FA), probe ANME-3-1249 with unlabelled helper oligonucleotides ANME3-1243 and 1249 h (Losekann et al., 2007) specific for ANME-3 (40% FA) and probe DSS658 (Manz et al., 1998) specific for the Desulfosarcina/Desulfococcus branch of Deltaproteobacteria (60% FA), including Seep-SRB subgroups. Cells were counterstained with 1 µg mL⁻¹ 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 10 min. Hybridized cells were examined under an epifluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss Axioplan, Jena, Germany). For each sample and probe, 50 independent microscopic fields of view were counted, corresponding to approximately 400-800 DAPI-stained cells, and the fraction of double-stained cells has been determined.

Results

Site description and sampling
The Mercator MV was located at 350 m water depth in the Gulf of Cadiz, at c. 50 km off Morocco (Fig. 1a). Concentric rims visible on the high-resolution bathymetric map were interpreted as successive eruptive events.
and permitted to estimate the position of the physical crater centre where the most recently expelled mud and highest fluid flux were expected, as well as at three rim sites (Fig. 1 and Table 1). At Mercator MV Crater Centre site, gas was venting from the seafloor, and large halite (NaCl) and gypsum (CaSO₄) crystals were recovered from the sediments between 150 and 200 cm below the sea floor (cmbsf). CAMV was sampled in the crater centre site. For comparison with non-MV sediments, a reference station was sampled 2.4 km NE of Mercator MV. Details of the high-resolution bathymetry method, sampling sites description and coring devices are given in Data S1.

### Geochemistry

As Na⁺ and Cl⁻ are the major brine-derived ions present in the pore water of Mercator MV (Scholz et al., 2009) and CAMV (Hensen et al., 2007); the salinity in these sediments was estimated by the chloride concentration (Fig. 2). At the Mercator MV Crater Centre (cores JC10-09 and -19), chloride concentration at 10 cmbsf was already 3.5-fold (2011 mM) higher than sea water values and further increased with depth along concave up gradients, up to halite saturation level (c. 5800 mM) at 220 cmbsf. At the rim stations (cores JC10-11, -13 and -15),

---

Table 1. Sampling stations. Names, coring device used, coordinates, water depth and size of the recovered sediment column at each site of this study. Note that Rim 1 and Rim 2 sites are replicate cores of the same location with different coring devices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Core name</th>
<th>Core type</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>JC10-02</td>
<td>Mega core</td>
<td>35°17.260N</td>
<td>6°40.240W</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JC10-04</td>
<td>Piston core</td>
<td>35°17.244N</td>
<td>6°40.251W</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercator MV</td>
<td>Crater Center</td>
<td>JC10-09</td>
<td>Gravity core</td>
<td>35°17.840N</td>
<td>6°38.820W</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JC10-019</td>
<td>Piston core</td>
<td>35°17.866N</td>
<td>6°38.797W</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>272</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercator MV</td>
<td>Rim 1</td>
<td>Mega core</td>
<td>35°17.810N</td>
<td>6°38.770W</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JC10-013</td>
<td>Gravity core</td>
<td>35°17.806N</td>
<td>6°38.771W</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercator MV</td>
<td>Rim 2</td>
<td>Mega core</td>
<td>35°17.920N</td>
<td>6°38.700W</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JC10-11</td>
<td>Gravity core</td>
<td>35°39.637N</td>
<td>7°20.046W</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMV</td>
<td>Crater Center</td>
<td>JC10-066</td>
<td>Piston core</td>
<td>35°17.260N</td>
<td>6°40.240W</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1. Study location and sampling stations. (a) Gulf of Cadiz bathymetric map showing the location of the two MV investigated in this study. (b) High-resolution bathymetric maps of the Mercator mud volcano, located in the shallow region of the Gulf of Cadiz. This MV was cored in the Crater Centre (JC10-09 and -19), northern Rim (JC10-11) and southern Rim (JC10-13 and -15).

Fig. 2. Sediment salinity. Comparison of chloride concentration profiles used to estimate salinity in the sediments of Mercator MV and CAMV.
chloride concentration formed a quasi-linear gradient with depth from sea water concentration (c. 580 mM) at the surface up to 3213 mM at 67.5 cmbsf. Both at the reference station (cores JC10-02 and -04) and at CAMV Crater Centre stations (core JC10-66), chloride concentration reflected sea water concentration at the sediment surface and remained constant with depth. On the basis of these different salinity profiles, three methane-rich habitats with decreasing salt contents (chlorinity gradients) were selected for further detailed studies on geochemistry and microbial activity: (1) hypersaline sediments (core JC10-19) hereafter named Mercator MV Crater Centre, (2) sediments with lower but still elevated salinity (cores JC10-13 and JC10-15) hereafter named Mercator MV Rim 1 and Rim 2, respectively and (3) sediments with regular sea water salinity (core JC10-66) hereafter named CAMV Crater Centre.

Methane

At all three locations, an upward migration of methane in the sediment was indicated by elevated methane concentrations in deeper section of the cores, generally decreasing towards surface (Fig. 3). These results are in agreement with previous publications on the geochemistry of the chosen structures (e.g. Van Rooij et al., 2005; Niemann et al., 2006a; Hensen et al., 2007; Nuzzo et al., 2009; Scholz et al., 2009). At Mercator MV Crater Centre, methane concentration decreased from 3 mM at 75 cmbsf to 0.6 mM at the sediment surface. At Mercator MV Rim 1, the methane decreased from 0.23 mM at 21 cmbsf to 5.10⁻³ mM at 11.5 cmbsf, and similar trend was observed at Mercator MV Rim 2. At CAMV Crater Centre, methane concentration steeply decreased from 1.2 mM at 26.5 cmbsf to near background concentrations at 21.5 cmbsf, and methane was depleted in the upper 20 cm of the core.

Sulphate

Sulphate concentration was close to sea water values at the sediment surface (28–30 mM) in all cores (Fig. 3). At Mercator MV, sulphate first decreased with depth down to 13.2 mM at Crater Centre or to 24.4 mM at Rim 2 (at 162 and 42 cmbsf respectively), but then increased again with depth, reaching maximum values of 18.5 and 28.3 mM, respectively. At Mercator MV Rim 1, sulphate concentration remained constant down to 28 cmbsf. At CAMV Crater Centre, sulphate concentration steeply decreased and reached 2.8 mM at 22.5 cmbsf, remaining at this level down to 40.5 cmbsf and was below detection limit (c. 0.1 mM), below 55 cmbsf.

Sulphide

At all Mercator MV sites, we could not detect any soluble sulphide (H₂S, HS⁻, S²⁻; data not shown), although decreasing sulphate contents and sulphate reduction rates (SSR; see next section) indicated sulphide production. However, at least some of the sulphide could be precipitated because acid volatile sulphide (AVS) content was elevated (0.5 mM of sediment) at Mercator MV Rim 2 (32 cmbsf; data not shown). In contrast, at CAMV Crater Centre, we could detect substantial amounts of (dissolved) sulphide peaking with 3.2 mM at 22.5 cmbsf (Fig. 3d), that is, at the interface between the methane and sulphate gradients.

Acetate and hydrogen

Acetate was present in the pore water of all sites (Fig. 3) with decreasing concentrations from the deeper layers (between c. 40 μM at Mercator Rim sites to c. 90 μM at Mercator Crater Centre) towards the surface (5–20 μM). At CAMV Crater Centre, a peak of acetate (107 μM) occurred at 40 cmbsf. Substantial amounts of dissolved hydrogen, mostly as discrete concentration peaks, could be detected in all four cores (Fig. 3). Maximum hydrogen concentration ranged from 0.7 nM at Mercator Crater Rim 2 to 4.4 nM at Mercator Crater Centre. These values probably represent low estimates owing to sediment degassing during recovery.

Microbial activity

Anaerobic oxidation of methane

Significant rates of AOM (AOMR) were detected in all habitats investigated in this study (Fig. 3). At Mercator MV Crater Centre (Fig. 3a), AOM activity was present throughout the entire core, with two zones of apparently higher activity: in the first 50 cm below the surface, with a maximum AOMR of 6 nmol cm⁻³ day⁻¹ at 21 cmbsf,
and at greater depth (170 cmbsf) with a maximum AOMR of 2.3 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\). Notably, both maxima coincided with a decrease in methane concentrations (Fig. 3). At Mercator MV Rim 1 and Rim 2 (Fig. 3b and c), AOM activity was present in near-surface sediments with rates of 0.8 and 2 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\), respectively, and decreased with depth to values close or below detection limit. At 25 cmbsf, AOMR increased, and two activity peaks of 3.0 and 3.6 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\) were detected between 30 and 40 cmbsf at Rim 1, and a single peak of 8.5 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\) at Rim 2. At the latter, AOM activity extended down to the bottom of the core, with rates between 1 and 1.7 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\). At CAMV Crater Centre (Fig. 3d), a distinct peak of AOM activity (11.4 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) was found between 28 and 31 cmbsf, which vertically coincided with the sulphide peak and the depth of the sulphate–methane transition. AOM was close to the detection limit above this active zone. As already observed by Niemann et al. (2006a), weak AOMR (0.5–1.3 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) were detected below the SMTZ between 47 and 297 cmbsf.

**Sulphate reduction**

At Mercator Crater Centre (Fig. 3a), SRR showed three maxima: immediately below the surface (SRR of 5.58 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\) at 5 cmbsf), at 56 cm (2.36 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) and at 220 cmbsf (7.47 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)). At Mercator MV Rim 1 (Fig. 3b), SR was scattered over depth, but showed a general increase towards 30 cmbsf, broadly corresponding to depth of the two AOM maxima. At Mercator MV Rim 2 (Fig. 3c), SR was maximal just beneath the sediment surface (9.6 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) and showed a second lower maximum of 1.83 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\) at the same depth (31 cmbsf) where also the AOM maximum was found. At CAMV Crater Centre, in contrast to the rather weak coupling between AOM–SR found at Mercator MV, SR peaked (24.13 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) just in the same sediment horizon (28–31 cmbsf) where also AOM was maximal.

Depth integrated AOM rates (\(\sum\text{AOMR}\)) and SR rates (\(\sum\text{SRR}\)) were comprised between 147 and 898 nmol m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\), and 326 and 1803 nmol m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\), respectively (Table 2). Remarkably, AOMR exceeded SRR in several sediments intervals. This difference was significant (i.e. no overlap of 95% confidence intervals) at Mercator MV Rim 2 (37.5 cmbsf) and in most sediment horizons between 7 and 29 cmbsf at Mercator MV Crater Centre. As a consequence, \(\sum\text{AOMR}\) exceeded \(\sum\text{SRR}\) by a factor 2.8 at Rim 2 in the 27–77 cmbsf interval, 1.23 over the entire core (Table 2), and 2.2 at Crater Centre between 7 and 49 cmbsf.

**Methanogenesis**

With the exception of Mercator MV Crater Centre, methanogenesis (MG) using different substrates could be detected in all cores, albeit at very low rates and at discrete depth horizons. No methanol MG was observed in any of the sites investigated. Generally, we found a vertical succession of methylotrophic (Meth-MG) with methyamine as a substrate in the shallowest horizons, followed by acetotrophic (Ac) and then by hydrogenotrophic (H) MG. At Mercator MV Rim 1 (Fig. 3b), Meth-MG peaked with 8.1 pmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\) at 3 cmbsf, Ac-MG with 2.3 pmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\) at 13 cmbsf and H-MG with 50.5 pmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\) at 17 cmbsf. At Rim 2 (Fig. 3c), a peak of Meth-MG was also present near sediment surface, but we could not detect H-MG or Ac-MG. Similarly, at CAMV Crater Centre, H-MG was absent, but we found two peaks of Ac-MG at 55 and 300 cmbsf (0.13 pmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) and a near-surface peak in Meth-MG (Fig. 3d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Flux (nmol m(^{-2}) year(^{-1}))</th>
<th>SRR (total)</th>
<th>SRR (SMTZ)</th>
<th>AOMR (total)</th>
<th>AOMR (SMTZ)</th>
<th>AOMR/SRR (SMTZ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercator MV</td>
<td>Crater Centre</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rim 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rim 2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMV</td>
<td>Crater Centre</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d., not determined; n.a., not applicable.
Microbial community structure

The structure of the microbial community involved in AOM in hypersaline sediments of Mercator MV Rim 1 was investigated by constructing both archaeal (85 clones) and bacterial (75 clones) 16S rRNA gene libraries with DNA extracted in the 32–33 cmbsf interval where AOM was maximum. Amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis (ARDRA) of these clones resulted in 17 archaeal and 47 bacterial groups displaying different restriction patterns for which at least two representative clones were sequenced. These sequences clustered into 4 and 22 operational taxonomic units (OTUs), respectively. Nonparametric Chao 1 richness estimators (8) were of 7 and 33, thus resulting in library richness coverage of 57% for the archaeal library and of 67% for the bacterial library. Rarefaction curves computed with the MOTHUR software (Schloss et al., 2009) indicated that the Archaeal diversity was extensively covered by the library, whereas bacterial curve did not reach a plateau (see Data S1).

Sequences belonging to the ANME-1b clade (Hinrichs et al., 1999) largely dominated the archaeal library \((n = 69/85, \text{Fig. 4})\), followed by sequences belonging to the GoM-Arc1 clade \((n = 14/85; \text{Lloyd et al., 2006})\); also called ANME-2d by Mills et al. (2003, 2004). Most bacterial sequences were affiliated with SRB belonging to the Deltaproteobacteria \((n = 50/75, \text{Fig. 5})\). Of these, sequences belonging to the Seep-SRB1 cluster (Knittel et al., 2005) constituted the largest fraction \((n = 39/75)\) of the bacterial diversity, falling in the Seep-SRB1-c \((n = 30/75)\), Seep-SRB1-d \((n = 7/75)\) and Seep-SRB1-a \((n = 2/75)\), the putative syntrophic ANME partners subgroups (Schreiber et al., 2010). In addition, sequences falling within the families Anaerolineaceae \((n = 1/75)\) and

![Fig. 4. Affiliation of Archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences from Mercator MV Rim 1 (32–33 cmbsf). The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed based on a subset of 351 sequences using the maximum likelihood method (PhyML, Guindon et al., 2009) filtering out positions according to base frequencies among Archaea (50% cutoff). Percentages indicate branch support as calculated by the aLRT bootstrapping method (Anisimova & Gascuel, 2006; Guindon et al., 2009).](image-url)
Fig. 5. Affiliation of bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences from Mercator MV Rim 1 (32–33 cmbsf). The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed based on a subset of 195 sequences using the maximum likelihood method (PhyML, Guindon et al., 2009) filtering out positions according to base frequencies among Deltaproteobacteria (50% cutoff). Percentages indicate branch support as calculated by the aLRT bootstrapping method (Anisimova & Gascuel, 2006; Guindon et al., 2009).
Spirochetacea ($n = 1/75$) were present in the library. The remaining bacterial phylotypes were mostly affiliated with candidate phyla with no cultivated representatives, such as candidate divisions OP8 ($n = 11/75$), OP9 ($n = 1/75$), WS3 ($n = 1/75$) and TA06 ($n = 6/75$).

The *in situ* abundance and distribution of putative archaeal anaerobic methanotrophs (ANME-1, -2 and -3) were further investigated in the three Mercator MV cores applying CARD-FISH or FISH at CAMV Crater Centre. Confirming the results of the archaeal clone library, ANME-1 was the only group of known methanotrophs detected at Mercator MV. Members of this clade were found at several depth intervals. At Mercator MV Rim 1, ANME-1 cells were dominant at 29 cmbsf, accounting for $79 \pm 0.8\%$ of the DAPI-stained cells (Fig. 3c). At the same depth, 75% of the DAPI-stained cells belonged to the domain Archaea, indicating that most likely all of these were ANME-1 cells (results not shown). In general, ANME-1 cells were rod-shaped, formed chains of 2–16 cells (Fig. 6a and b) and were not associated with other type of cells. Less than 10% of ANME-1 cells were involved in large aggregates (Fig. 6c and d) comprising few non-ANME-1 coccoid cells. Bacteria accounted for 21% of DAPI-stained cells, of which 53% (i.e. 11% of the total cell number) belonged to the DSS group, which includes the Seep-SRB cluster of putative ANME partners. However, no direct cell–cell contact of DSS cells and ANME-1 was observed. At Mercator MV Rim 2, ANME-1 cells were only observed at 42.5 cmbsf and constituted $58 \pm 10\%$ of the DAPI-stained cells (Fig. 3c). There, cells were smaller (c. 0.7 μm), with coccoid or short rod shapes, and found as single cells or chains of 2–4 cells (Fig. 6e and f). Very few DAPI-stained cells were observed at 2, 22 and 42 cmbsf. None of these cells showed a positive signal for any of the ANME probes. Below 50 cmbsf, almost no DAPI-stained cells could be observed, and no ANME-1 cells were detected in the Mercator MV Crater Centre at the investigated depths. In contrast, in the AOM zone (30 cmbsf) of the CAMV Crater Centre, the presence of ANME-2 cells (previously found in the study by Niemann et al. (2006a) was confirmed by FISH assays. There, ANME-2 cells occurred as shell-type aggregates, surrounded by other non-ANME cells (Fig. 6g and h).

**Discussion**

**AOM activity in hypersaline and nonhypersaline sediments**

**AOM activity in extreme hypersaline environments**

Hypersaline milieus are typically considered as hostile or even biogeochemical dead ends. Although the immense

Fig. 6. Direct microscopic observations of AOM communities of CARD-FISH- and DAPI-stained cells at Mercator MV. Monospecific chains of rod-shaped cells positive for the ANME-1 probe (a and b), or aggregates comprising ANME-1 positive cells (c and d) observed at Mercator MV Crater Rim 1 (32 cmbsf). (e and f) Small coccoid or short rod-shaped ANME-1 positive cells observed at Mercator MV Crater Rim 2 (41 cmbsf). Overlay of DAPI-stained (blue) and ANME-2/Cy3 (red)-stained cells images (double-stained cells appear in purple). White scale bars: 5 μm.
ic strength and osmotic pressure appear to exclude most life on Earth, some specialized microorganisms and a few Eukarya have adapted to minimize the negative effects of hypersalinity. This allowed them to thrive in these extremely challenging environments (Boetius & Joye, 2009). Because of the many biochemical constraints associated with life in hypersalinity, these environments are believed to select for metabolisms associated with elevated energy yield. In contrast, AOM is characterized by one of the lowest known energy yields among microbial energy conserving reactions. In the particular case of the putative syntrophic nature of AOM, the energy is shared, which further reduces the energy yield for each syntrophic partner. Despite these constraints, previous studies have shown that AOM can occur in hypersaline environments such as Mono- (Joye et al., 1999) and Big Soda lake (Iversen et al., 1987) at salinity of 88–90 g L⁻¹ (c. 1.6 M Cl⁻¹). In marine cold seep sediments, Lloyd et al. (2006) provided geochemical and molecular evidence for AOM activity at 146 g L⁻¹ (c. 2.5 M Cl⁻¹). Our results significantly extend the salinity range for AOM, showing that substantial rates (2.3 nmol cm⁻³ day⁻¹ at Mercator MV Crater Centre) can be attained under extreme hypersaline conditions of 263 g L⁻¹ (4.5 M Cl⁻¹), and even at halite-saturating conditions (340 g L⁻¹ or 5.8 M Cl⁻¹) with rates of 0.5 nmol cm⁻³ day⁻¹. Hence, ANME-1 cells detected in extreme hypersaline environments, such as the Bannock, l’Atalante and Thetis deep-sea brine pools, with respective salinities of 148 g L⁻¹ (Daffonchio et al., 2006), 230 g L⁻¹ (Yakimov et al., 2007) and 348 g L⁻¹ (La Cono et al., 2011), might well perform AOM. However, these results are in contrast with the current concept that hypersaline conditions select for high-energy yield metabolisms. It is not clear how microbial communities mediating AOM can implement energy demanding osmoregulatory mechanisms (e.g. ion pumping or production of compatible solutes) unless the low AOM energy yield is balanced by a very high methane turnover per cell.

Evidence for a salt-induced AOM inhibition

At Mercator MV, maximum AOMR were below 8.5 nmol cm⁻³ day⁻¹, and even as low as 2.3 nmol cm⁻³ day⁻¹ in the most hypersaline Crater Centre site. This later value is approximately five times lower than maximum AOMR in nonhypersaline sediments of CAMV (11.4 nmol cm⁻³ day⁻¹, this study), and even c. 150 times lower than maximum rates reported in a mud volcano (e.g. 350 nmol cm⁻³ day⁻¹ under the beggiaota mat at the Haakon Mosby MV in the Barent Sea; Niemann et al., 2006b). These results were unexpected because AOM rates amplitude is generally controlled by methane and sulphate availability in sediments (Dale et al., 2008; Knab et al., 2009). However, sulphate and methane concentrations were much higher in Mercator MV than in the SMTZ of AOM zones at other cold seep sites (Knittel & Boetius, 2009 and references therein). The presence of such large sulphate and methane pools would thus have the potential to sustain much higher rates than the one observed in the hypersaline sites. This strongly suggests that AOM was inhibited at the level of cell activity or population growth, probably as a result of the extreme hypersaline conditions at Mercator MV. Further evidence for a salinity-induced inhibition of AOM is given by comparing Mercator MV sites. At Rim 1 and 2 sites where salinity was lower than in the Crater Centre, maximum AOMR were higher in spite of the lower methane concentration. These higher rates resulted in an almost complete consumption of methane below the sediment surface, unlike at the Crater Centre site where methane was not entirely consumed in the sediments. This interpretation of a salinity-induced inhibition of AOM activity is further supported by the results of Nauhaus et al. (2005) showing that AOM rates tend to decrease with increasing salt concentration above sea water salinity during in vitro incubations with seep sediments.

AOM inhibition and multiple sulphate sources lead to an unusual microbial activity spatial distribution

AOM activity at all Mercator MV sites displayed a much wider vertical distribution than in typical SMTZ where AOM activity is confined to a discrete sediment horizon (Knittel & Boetius, 2009), as observed in CAMV site with background salinity. Mercator MV hypersaline sediments were characterized by elevated sulphate levels because of the leaching of sulphate-rich evaporites by the ascending fluid (Scholz et al., 2009). In this system, sulphate was therefore transported not only downward from sea water into the sediment, but also upward together with other ions, hydrocarbons and geo fluids. The multiple sources of sulphate in this original system, combined with the hypersalinity-induced inhibition of AOM that prevents depletion of both sulphate and methane (see above), can thus explain the unusually broad microbial activity distribution. Interestingly, despite higher maximum AOMR at CAMV, depth integrated areal rates (ΣAOMR) were 6.1- and 3.8-fold lower than in Mercator MV Crater Centre and Rim 2, respectively (Table 2). Hence, such vertical extension of AOM activity resulted in higher turnover per unit area and thus compensated to some extent the reduction of volumetric rates.

Interpretation of Mercator MV biogeochemical structure and functioning

Decomposition of shallow subsurface methane hydrate is a widespread cause of high methane advective flux and gas
seepage from cold seep systems such as Hydrate Ridge (off Oregon) or Eel River Basin (off California). At Mercator MV, the observation of shallow subsafloor internal seismic reflectors resembling bottom-simulating reflectors suggested the presence of gas hydrates near the surface (Depreiter et al., 2005). On the basis of these results and on video observations of gas venting from Mercator MV crater (Van Rooij et al., 2005), it has been proposed that methane hydrate dissociation could also occur at this site (Van Rooij et al., 2005). The present study thus provides new insights on the origin of the gas venting from Mercator MV Crater Centre. Our results indeed show that hypersaline conditions, by disrupting the so-called ‘methane benthic filter’, was the main cause of methane venting in the water column.

**AOM community structure in hypersaline sediments of Mercator MV**

**ANME-1 as a hypersaline anaerobic methanotroph ecotype**

The Mercator MV environment closely resembles the Gulf of Mexico gassy sediment described in the study by Lloyd et al. (2006), in term of hypersalinity and dominance of ANME-1 cells. Therefore, extremely saline environments may well exert a selective pressure towards ANME-1, as already proposed in the study by Lloyd et al. (2006) and Yakimov et al. (2007). This would also explain the findings of ANME-1 in brines in the Eastern Mediterranean (Daffonchio et al., 2006; Yakimov et al., 2007; La Cono et al., 2011). As ANME-1 cells have also been reported at the CAMV Crater Centre site (Niemann et al., 2006a) and other nonhypersaline environments (see Data S1 and Knittel & Boetius, 2009), a negative selection towards other ANME groups thus appears to be the most probable ANME selection mechanism in hypersaline cold seeps.

Such selection pattern could be related to the comparatively low effect of ionic strength on Archaea in general and ANME-1 in particular. The permeability of archaeal membranes comprising isoprenoidal glycerol ethers is generally lower than that of bacterial membranes comprising fatty acid glycerol esters (Valentine, 2007). Low membrane permeability reduces the energy loss associated with random ion exchange between the cyto- and ectoplasm. Specifically, ANME-1 comprises high contents of membrane-spanning lipids, so-called glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers-GDGTs (Niemann & Elvert, 2008 and references therein). Membranes composed of GDGTs are at the lower end of permeability when comparing typical membrane lipids (Yamauchi et al., 1993; Valentine, 2007). In contrast, ANME-2 and -3 cell membranes rather contain diethers, that are characterized by higher permeability, and less or no GDGTs (Rossel et al., 2011). This could thus explain why hypersalinity seems to exclude ANME-2 and ANME-3 but not ANME-1 cells. In addition, genes coding for mannosylglycerate and di-myoinositol-phosphate synthesis pathways were identified in the ANME-1 genome (Meyerdierks et al., 2010). These two compatible solutes are widely employed by halophilic microorganisms to increase their turgor pressure (Roberts, 2004; da Costa & Empadihns, 2008). These compounds may thus also confer hypersalinity adaptation to the ANME-1 cells. Finally, Stokke et al. (2012) recently unravelled the presence of proteins from ANME-1 involved in rigid gas vesicle synthesis that could constitute a salt stress response.

**On the syntrophic nature of AOM in Mercator MV sediments**

In Mercator MV Rim 1 sediments, ANME-1 cells were mainly present as monospecific chains, with no apparent contact with any other cell type. In addition, the ANME/DSS ratio was of 7 : 1 (29 cmbsf in Mercator MV Rim 1), strongly differing from the typical 1 : 1 to 1 : 3 ratios observed in shell-type consortia (Boetius et al., 2000; Orcutt & Meile, 2008). As no other putative anaerobic methanotrophs were detected in Mercator MV sediments, our results suggest that ANME-1 cells at Mercator MV can mediate AOM, even in the absence of ANME-2- or ANME-3-like consortia with SRB (see Data S1 for a short review of ANME-1 organization at other seep sites). However, despite our observations of a few large ANME-1 clusters (Fig. 6c and d), the disaggregation of cell assemblage by CARD-FISH preparation cannot be ruled out. At Mercator Crater Centre site, the absence of ANME cells indicates that methane oxidation observed in the first 50 cm was probably not carried out by any known ANME cell type. Below 50 cmbsf, sediments were more hypersaline, and it is possible that cell fixation involving sea water salinity reagents (see Materials and methods) caused osmotic shocks and substantial cell lysis in these samples. This could explain that DAPI-stained cells were rarely observed in these samples despite significant activity rates.

**Reaction coupling between AOM, SR and MG**

**Sulphate-dependant AOM**

At CAMV Crater Centre, we found that depth of SRR and AOMR maxima coincided, and areal SRR ($\sum$SRR) exceeded areal AOMR ($\sum$AOMR) within the SMTZ. On the basis of these areal rates, 63% of the SR in the AOM zone was because of methane oxidation (Table 2). This was thus compatible with a coupling between these reactions with an equimolar consumption of both substrates.
Several factors can account for the remaining 37% SR activity in the AOM zone: organoclastic SR through mineralization of higher hydrocarbons comigrating with methane (Niemann et al., 2006a; Kniemeyer et al., 2007; Bowles et al., 2011) or sedimentary organic matter from microbial or seep fauna necromass (Niemann et al., 2006a; Hilario & Cunha, 2008; Sommer et al., 2009).

Evidence of alternative electron acceptors for AOM

We also found the reverse situation where \( \sum \text{AOMR} \) consistently exceeded \( \sum \text{SRR} \) over large depth intervals at Mercator MV Crater Centre or Rim 2 and at CAMV Crater Centre between 43 and 300 cmbsf. These remarkable results suggested the presence of alternative electron acceptors, other than sulphate, in both MV sediments. No nitrate/nitrite was detected in any anion-exchange chromatograms (results not shown), suggesting that N-dependant AOM (Raghoebarsing et al., 2006; Beal et al., 2009; Ettwig et al., 2010) is unlikely involved in methane turnover at this site. The presence of a large pool of Fe is suggested by the presence of significant AVS concentration, at least in Rim1 station. Fe(III) can be present in subseafloor sediments and brines (D’Hondt et al., 2004; Parkes et al., 2005) and has been shown to be responsible of a cryptic sulphur cycle through sulphide reoxidation (Holmkvist, et al., 2005). Fe(III) can be present in subseafloor sediments, thus departing from such typical zonation. Similar results were reported in the Napoli MV hypersaline sediments of the East Mediterranean sea (Lazar et al., 2011). In the presence of sulphate, methylo trophic methanogens can still metabolize methyl moieties but mostly redirect them through the reverse MG oxidative pathway, with CO\(_2\) as final product rather than CH\(_4\) (Finke et al., 2007). In this case, SR acts as a sink for the electrons released during methyl oxidation via interspecies H\(_2\) transfer. Similar process could occur with acetate as electron donor (Phelps et al., 1985; Achniuch et al., 1995) and could explain the residual Ac-MG rate observed at Mercator MV Rim 1. This interpretation of residual Ac-MG was supported by an important peak of acetate oxidation to CO\(_2\) at 13 cmbsf (7847 pmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\), data not shown) compared to the CH\(_4\) formation (2.4 pmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) at the same depth. The interpretation of the H-MG activity peak was more problematic, as SRB usually maintain H\(_2\) levels that are inhibitory for methanogens (Kristjansson et al., 1982). The Met-MG activity in sulphate-rich near-surface sediments at all sites excepted Mercator MV Crater Centre was coherent with the fact that methylated amines are noncompetitive substrate that cannot be used by SRB (Oremland & Polcin, 1982).

Methanogenesis rates and zonation

Populations of ANME cells can have a dual methano trophic–methanogenic activity, as evidenced by environmental surveys (Orcutt et al., 2005) or in vitro incubations (Treude et al., 2007; Orcutt & Meile, 2008). In both MV of this study, zones of methanogenic activity did not overlap with methanotrophic activity. Hence, MG rates measured here are rather attributed to ‘true’ MG instead of methanotrophic ‘coreaction’. In any cases, MG activity was too low and within too narrow sediment intervals to significantly contribute to the methane pool at all investigated sites.

In the presence of sulphate, SRB generally outcompete methanogens for the utilization of H\(_2\) or acetate (Kristjansson et al., 1982; Schonheit et al., 1982). In line with this general rule was the presence of Ac-MG rates only below the SMTZ at CAMV Crater Centre, where sulphate was depleted. However, peaks of Ac-MG and H-MG also occurred in the sulphate zone in Mercator MV Rim 1 sediments, thus departing from such typical zonation. Similar results were reported in the Napoli MV hypersaline sediments of the East Mediterranean sea (Lazar et al., 2011). In the presence of sulphate, methylo trophic methanogens can still metabolize methyl moieties but mostly redirect them through the reverse MG oxidative pathway, with CO\(_2\) as final product rather than CH\(_4\) (Finke et al., 2007). In this case, SR acts as a sink for the electrons released during methyl oxidation via interspecies H\(_2\) transfer. Similar process could occur with acetate as electron donor (Phelps et al., 1985; Achniuch et al., 1995) and could explain the residual Ac-MG rate observed at Mercator MV Rim 1. This interpretation of residual Ac-MG was supported by an important peak of acetate oxidation to CO\(_2\) at 13 cmbsf (7847 pmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\), data not shown) compared to the CH\(_4\) formation (2.4 pmol cm\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) at the same depth. The interpretation of the H-MG activity peak was more problematic, as SRB usually maintain H\(_2\) levels that are inhibitory for methanogens (Kristjansson et al., 1982). The Met-MG activity in sulphate-rich near-surface sediments at all sites excepted Mercator MV Crater Centre was coherent with the fact that methylated amines are noncompetitive substrate that cannot be used by SRB (Oremland & Polcin, 1982).

Conclusion

In brine sediments of Mercator MV, the abundance of both sulphate and methane in the ascending fluid fuels substantial rates of AOM and SR. Unlike in typical methane-bearing sediments, no clear SMTZ is present, and AOM and SR are distributed over wide depth intervals. However, hypersaline conditions partially inhibited AOM such that maximum volumetric rates are orders of magnitude lower in comparison with other cold seeps. At Mercator MV, AOM is mediated by ANME-1, which mostly occurs as monospecific cell chains and constitutes up to four-fifth of the microbial community. This, together with previous findings of ANME-1 in hypersaline settings, suggests that this ANME clade is well adapted to elevated salinity despite the low energy conserved from the AOM reaction. We also found that AOM and SR can be uncou-
pled with AOM significantly exceeding SR over wide depth intervals. Hypothetically, this could be related to the utilization of electron acceptors other than sulphate for AOM.
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